

# THE REVELATION OF JOHN **Bible Study 11**

Text: Rev. 3:7-13 All rights reserved ©



Άποκάλυψις Ίησοῦἰἀκτικκεοῦ ἢν ἔδωκεν αὐτῷ ὁ θεὸς δεῖξαι 1. What the text meant. ύλοις αύτοῦ ἀ δεῖ Exegesis of the Text: ἀχει, κοὶ ἐσήμανεν ἀ **Historical Aspects:** A. Command to write, v. 7a External History C δια τοῦ αγγέλου CB. T Situation of the church, vv. 76-11 αννη, 2 Internal History του λόγου το. Promise and Admonition, vv. 12-13 Literary Aspects: Genre ιστοῦ ὄσα είδεν. 3 Μα 2. What the text means ὑσκων καὶ οἱ ἀ

Literary Setting **Literary Structure** 

# Message to Philadelphia

### Greek NT

7 Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῆς 7 Y escribe al ángel de la έν Φιλαδελφεία ἐκκλησίας iglesia en Filadelfia: νράψον·

άληθινός, ὁ ἔχων τὴν κλεῖν el que abre y nadie cierra, y  $\Delta$ αυίδ, ὁ ἀνοίγων καὶ οὐδεὶς cierra y nadie abre, dice esto: κλείσει καὶ κλείων καὶ οὐδεὶς άνοίνει·

δέδωκα ἐνώπιόν σου θύραν puede cerrar, porque tienes ήνεωγμένην, ĥν δύναται κλεῖσαι αὐτήν, ὅτι dado mi palabra y no has neμικράν ἔχεις δύναμιν καὶ gado mi nombre. 9 He aquí, ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον καὶ yo entregaré a aquellos de la ούκ ήρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου. 9 sinagoga de Satanás que se ίδού διδῶ ἐκ τῆς συναγωγῆς dicen ser judíos y no lo son, τοῦ σατανᾶ τῶν λεγόντων sino que mienten; he aquí, yo έαυτοὺς Ἰουδαίους εἶναι, καὶ haré que vengan y se postούκ είσιν άλλα ψεύδονται. ren a tus pies, y sepan que ίδού ποιήσω αὐτοὺς ἵνα yo te he amado. 10 'Porque ήξουσιν καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν has guardado la palabra de ένώπιον τῶν ποδῶν σου καì mi perseverancia, yo también γνῶσιν ὅτι ἐγὼ ἠγάπησά te guardaré de la hora de la σε. 10 ὅτι ἐτήρησας τὸν prueba, esa hora que está λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου, por venir sobre todo el munκάγώ σε τηρήσω ἐκ τῆς do para probar a los que haώρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ τῆς bitan sobre la tierra. 11 'Venμελλούσης ἕρχεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς go pronto; retén firme lo que οἰκουμένης ὅλης πειράσαι tienes, para que nadie tome tu τούς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς corona. γῆς. 11 ἔρχομαι ταχύ· κράτει ο ἕχεις, ἵνα μηδεὶς λάβῃ τὸν una columna en el templo de στέφανόν σου.

αὐτὸν στῦλον ἐν τῶ ναῶ nombre de mi Dios, y el nomτοῦ θεοῦ μου καὶ ἔξω oủ μὴ bre de la ciudad de mi Dios, la έξέλθη ἕτι καὶ γράψω ἐπ' nueva Jerusalén, que desci-

"El Santo, el Verdadero, Τάδε λέγει ὁ ἅγιος, ὁ el que tiene la llave de David, 8 'Yo conozco tus obras.

La Biblia de las Américas

Mira, he puesto delante de ti 8 οἶδά σου τὰ ἔργα, ἰδού una puerta abierta que nadie ούδεὶς un poco de poder, has guar-

12 'Al vencedor le haré mi Dios, y nunca más saldrá 12 Ὁ νικῶν ποιήσω de allí; escribiré sobre él el αὐτὸν τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ ende del cielo de mi Dios, y mi

# NRSV

7 And to the angel of the church in Philadelphia write: angel of the church in Phila-

These are the words of delphia. the holy one, the true one, who has the key of David, who from the one who is holy and opens and no one will shut, true. He is the one who has who shuts and no one opens: the key of David. He opens

I have set before you an open them; he shuts doors, and no door, which no one is able to one can open them. shut. I know that you have but little power, and yet you you do, and I have opened a have kept my word and have door for you that no one can not denied my name. 9 I will shut. You have little strength, make those of the synagogue yet you obeyed my word and of Satan who say that they did not deny me. 9 Look! I are Jews and are not, but are will force those who belong lying-I will make them come to Satan -- those liars who and bow down before your say they are Jews but are not feet, and they will learn that I -- to come and bow down at have loved you. 10 Because your feet. They will acknowlyou have kept my word of edge that you are the ones I patient endurance, I will keep love. 10 "Because you have you from the hour of trial that obeyed my command to peris coming on the whole world severe, I will protect you from to test the inhabitants of the the great time of testing that earth. 11 I am coming soon; will come upon the whole hold fast to what you have, so world to test those who bethat no one may seize your long to this world. 11 Look, I crown.

make you a pillar in the tem- no one will take away your ple of my God; you will nev- crown. er go out of it. I will write on you the name of my God, and ous will become pillars in the the name of the city of my Temple of my God, and they God, the new Jerusalem that will never have to leave it. comes down from my God

NLT

τες τὰ έν αὐτ

7 Write this letter to the

This is the message 81 know your works. Look, doors, and no one can shut

8 I know all the things am coming quickly. Hold on 12 If you conquer, I will to what you have, so that

12 All who are victori-

μου καὶ τὸ ὄνομα τῆς πόλεως τοῦ θεοῦ μου, τῆς καινῆς Ἰερουσαλὴμ ἡ καταβαίνουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μου, καὶ τὸ ὄνομά μου τὸ καινόν. 13 Ὁ ἔχων οὖς ἀκουσάτω τί τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις.

nombre nuevo. 13 'El que tiene oído, oiga lo que el Espíritu dice a las iglesias." out of heaven, and my own new name. 13 Let anyone who has an ear listen to what the Spirit is saying to the churches.



And I will write my God's name on them, and they will be citizens in the city of my God -- the new Jerusalem that comes down from heaven from my God. And they will have my new name inscribed upon them. 13 Anyone who is willing to hear should listen to the Spirit and understand what the Spirit is saying to the churches.

# INTRODUCTION

This sixth message of the seven continues the same literary pattern and some of the same themes we have seen in the first five messages. The message is the longest of the seven thus far. Several new emphases will also surface in this message. This is the second of two messages where Christ does not criticize problems existing in the church.

The city of Philadelphia<sup>1</sup> had a long history, some of which was filled with disaster and trouble.<sup>2</sup> Several

<sup>1</sup>The name of the city was Φιλαδέλφεια. A resident of the city was called Φιλαδελφεύς. The abstract idea of brotherly love is φιλαδελφία, with the adjective form being φιλάδελφος, -ov.

<sup>2</sup>"Located in ancient Lydia, in the W of what is today Asiatic Turkey, Philadelphia was founded by Eumenes II, King of Pergamum (197-159 b.c.) or possibly his brother, Attalus II (159-138 b.c.), whose loyalty had earned for him the epithet 'Philadelphus,' hence the city's name. It is located near the upper end of the very fertile plateau in the Cogamus River (today Koca Cay) valley, a tributary of the Hermus River, E of Sardis and on the route into the interior from Smyrna on the coast (38°22'N; 28°32'E). It is in the midst of a very prosperous agricultural region, though it was (and is) notoriously subject to frequent earthquakes. A severe one in a.d. 17 destroyed the city, and this was followed by a series of intermittent shocks which led the people to continue living outside the city for some years because of the structural instability of many of the city buildings and walls (Strabo, Geog. 12.8.18; 13.4.10; cf. Hemer 1986: 156-57). This disaster made an indelible impact on the contemporary world as the greatest in human history (Pliny HN 2.86.200). The nearby city of Sardis was equally badly hurt (Tacitus Ann. 2.47.3-4), but Philadelphia was among the cities whose tribute was remitted for five years to allow the people to have the resources to rebuild. Hemer (1986: 157) finds an historical allusion to this situation in the promise of Rev 3:12a: 'He who conquers, I will make him a pillar in the temple of my God; never shall he go out of it ...' The citizens of Philadelphia subsequently expressed warm gratitude on inscriptions and coins to the emperor Tiberius for his assistance in the process of rebuilding their city, and they later took the name 'Neocaesarea.' Still later, under Vespasian, it took another imperial name, Flavia (BMC, 60 and 60 of Vespasian; 62 of Domitian). Hemer suggests that this adoption of the name of the divine emperor is referenced and contrasted with the offer of



of these aspects most likely stand behind some statements in the message to the church there. The establishment of the Christian community is not known with certainty, but probably traces back to Paul's lengthy Ephesian ministry in the early 50s on the third missionary journey. The subsequent Christian history of the church is referenced by several events and personal-

a better and more lasting name in Rev 3:12b: 'And I will write on him the name of my God, and the name of the city of my God, the new Jerusalem which comes down from my God out of heaven'." [*The Yale Anchor Bible Dictionary*, 5:30]

ities beginning in the second century AD.<sup>3</sup> Mostly it is the history of martyrs being executed for their Christian faith in the city. The spiritual leader of Antioch in Syria, Ignatius (98 - 117 AD) wrote a letter to the church at Philadelphia within two decades of the writing of the book of Revelation.<sup>4</sup> The *Martyrdom of Polycarp* (150-160 AD) in 19:1 mentions Christians from Philadelphia suffering martyrdom in Smyrna along with Polycarp from Smyrna, around 155 AD.<sup>5</sup> Some are also convinced that the Christian teaching of Montanism had its origin at Philadelphia in the middle of the second century, but this is not clear from the available data.

We can learn from Christ's words to this ancient congregation, as well as from the example that He commends in the life of the church there.

#### 1. What did the text mean to the first readers?

This natural beginning point raises some questions from both the historical and the literary aspects that need answering if we are to gain the fuller under-

<sup>3</sup>"Ignatius, the bishop of Antioch on the Orontes, visited the city on his way to his martyrdom in Rome (a.d. 107) and also sent a letter from Troas to the church at Philadelphia. Some of the members of the church of this city were martyred ca. a.d. 155 along with Polycarp (Mart. Polyc. 1). Philadelphia was a center of prophecy in the post-apostolic age, a place where Christians tended to be fearless and aggressive in their witness for the faith, and possibly the birthplace of Montanism (Calder 1923: 309–54; cf. Hemer 1986: 170–74). Today, the Hellenistic and Roman remains are located underneath the modern Turkish town of Alaşehir. There is an ancient acropolis with a few minor excavations, an ancient church (with traces of 11th century frescoes), and a rather extensive portion of the city wall from Byzantine times. Finds from Philadelphia are located in the Manisa museum, some distance away." [*The Yale Anchor Bible Dictionary*, 5:30]

<sup>4</sup>In the online English translations of Ignatius' Letter to the Philadelphians, the much later fully developed hierarchical ecclessiology is assumed in the translations which is not mandated by the Greek text. If one ignores this eisogeting of the Greek original by translation, the letter does contain helpful insights into the emerging perspectives on Christianity in the decades following the writing of Revelation. To be sure, a developing centralized ecclessiology at the local church level is reflected in the writings of the apostolic fathers. But it is an extension of the model found in Acts 15 where James serves as the leader of the  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ ύτεροι who are leaders of the many house church groups scattered across Jerusalem. By Ignatius, the concept of  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ ύτεροι is being sub-grouped into the ἐπίσκοπος as the local leader with the rest of the πρεσβύτεροι divided into  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ ύτεροι and διάκονοι. Ignatius focuses his words on loyalty to the  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i\sigma\kappa\sigma\sigma\sigma$  by the members of the various house church groups at Philadelphia..Nothing is spelled out about the distinction of responsibilities between the  $\pi\rho\epsilon\sigma\beta$ ύτεροι and διάκονοι.

<sup>5</sup>**MP 19:1.** Such were the things that happened to the blessed Polycarp, *who together with those from Philadelphia was the twelfth who suffered martyrdom in Smyrna;* but he alone is held in memory by all, so that he is spoken of in every place even by the Gentiles; not only being a distinguished teacher, but also an eminent martyr, whose testimony we desire to imitate, since it happened according to the Gospel of Christ. standing of the biblical text. The issues treated here set a backdrop for grasping what Christ is seeking to say through this text.

## **Historical Aspects:**

**External History.** In the history of the hand copying of this text across the centuries after it was first composed, some variations of wording surface in the existing manuscript copies. But in the text apparatus of the UBS Greek New Testament (4th rev. ed.) none were considered to be of sufficient importance to impact the translation of the passage. Thus no variations are listed for 3:7-13.

In the more complete listing system of the Novum Testamentum Graece (27th rev. ed), some 24 places where variation occur are listed in their text apparatus.<sup>6</sup> But careful examina-



#### <sup>6</sup>Offenbarung 3,7

\* 3 4 1 2  $\kappa$  A (different sequencing of ò ăyıoç, ò àληθινός shows up in various manuscripts)

- 1 2 2050
  - ο αγγελος αληθ. 2351
  - txt C M latt sy co
- \* του Δ. κ *M*; Or (the article τοῦ is added before  $\Delta$ αυίδ) | του αδου 2050 pc
  - txt A C 1611. 1854. 2053. 2329 pc

\* κλειει 1611. 1854. 2053  $M^{A}$  latt; Prim (variations with κλείσει are found in some manuscripts)

| κλεισει αυτην ει μη ο ανοιγων 046. 1006. 1841  $M^{\rm K}$ 

- | κλεισει αυτην 2351 | txt × A C P 2050. 2329 al co; Ir<sup>lat</sup> Or Tyc
- \* A pc vg<sup>ww</sup> sa<sup>mss</sup>; Ir<sup>lat</sup> Tyc Apr ( $\kappa\alpha$ ) is omitted after  $\kappa\lambda\epsilon(\sigma\epsilon)$

\*<sup>1</sup> κλειει C<sup>\*vid</sup> pc lat (κλείων is replaced by one of the following two spellings)

| κλεισει Ir<sup>lat</sup>

\*<sup>2</sup> ανοιξει × 046. 1006. 1841. 2050. 2329. 2344  $M^{K}$  co; Ir<sup>lat</sup> Or (ἀνοίγει is replaced by one of two variations)

- ανοιγη ει μη ο ανοιγων και ουδεις ανοιξει 2351
- | txt A C 1611. 1854. 2053 M<sup>A</sup> latt
- Offenbarung 3,8
- \*  $\kappa \alpha i$  1611 pc ( $\eta v$  is replaced with  $\kappa \alpha i$ )
- \* \* 1006c pc (αὐτήν is omitted)
- \* εχει 1 pc sy<sup>hmg</sup> (ἔχεις is replaced by ἔχει)
- **Offenbarung 3,9**
- \* διδωμι M (διδῶ is replaced with either δίδωμι or δέδωκα)
  | δεδωκα × sa
  - | txt A C
- \* και  $M^{A}$  ar (ἰδοὐ is replaced with καὶ)

\*<sup>1</sup> bis -ωσιν 046. 1611. 1841. 1854. 2344. 2351 pm (ἥξουσιν is replaced with ἥξωσιν; the same for προσκυνήσουσιν)

| txt א A C P 1006. 2050. (2053). 2329 pm

\*<sup>2</sup> γνωση × 69. (2351) pc sa; Prim (γνῶσιν is replaced by either γνώση, γνώσονται, οr γνώσωσιν)

tion of these variations reveals the correctness of the UBS editors' evaluation that none of them significantly alters the way the text should be translated.

Thus we can exegete the adopted reading of the text in the full confidence that it represents the original wording of the text.

**Internal History**. The internal place and time markers in the text mostly relate to exegetical concerns more that background concerns. But more needs to be said about the city of Philadelphia.

Most of the ruins of the ancient city remain hidden today underneath the modern Turkish city of Alaşehir. The history of the city prior to the



writing of Revelation is a mixture between economic development largely of grape vineyards built on volcanic ash fields and frequent earthquakes coming out of the same situation.<sup>7</sup> A severe earthquake destroyed the

> |-σονται 2050 pc |-σωσιν 1006

\* 1006. 1841. 2351 M<sup>K</sup> vg<sup>ms</sup>; Prim (ἐγὼ omitted)

#### Offenbarung 3,10

\* και A (2020 pc, 2344) (ὅτι is replaced by καί)

\* κ sa<sup>mss</sup> (τηρήσω is omitted in some mss)

### Offenbarung 3,11

\* ιδου 2014 pc ar vgcl; Tyc Apr (ίδοὺ is inserted before ἕρχομαι)

### Offenbarung 3,12

\* αυτω κ\* 1611. 1854. 2351 pc (αὐτὸν is replaced with αὐτῷ)

- \* \*\* (ėv is omitted)
- \*<sup>1</sup> 385 pc syph; Vic (μου is omitted)
- \*<sup>2</sup> א (ڏتر is omitted)

\* της –νουσης  $\aleph^2$ ; Tyc (ή καταβαίνουσα is replaced with either τῆς καταβαινούσης or ἢ καταβαίνει)

| η̈ –νει 1006. 1841<sup>vid</sup> Μ<sup>K</sup>

\* ало 1006. 1841<sup>vid</sup>  $M^{K}$  ( $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  is replaced with  $\dot{\alpha}\pi\dot{o}$ )

\*<sup>3</sup> 1006. 1841. 1854. 2053 *M*<sup>K</sup> bo<sup>mss</sup> (μου is omitted)

Eberhard Nestle et al., Universität Münster. Institut für Neutestamentliche Textforschung, *Novum Testamentum Graece*, 27. Aufl., rev. (Stuttgart: Deutsche Bibelstiftung, 1993), 638.

<sup>7</sup>"PHILADELPHIA was a city of Lydia, 28 miles from Sardis, in the valley of the Cogamis, a tributary of the Hermus, and conveniently situated for receiving the trade between the great central plateau of Asia Minor and Smyrna. The district known as Katakekaumene ('Burnt Region'), because of its volcanic character, rises immediately to the N.E. of Philadelphia, and this was a great vine-producing region.

"Philadelphia was founded and named by Attalus Philadelphus of Pergamus before b.c. 138. It was liable to serious earthquakes, but remained an important centre of the Roman province of Asia, receiving the name of Neo-Cæsarea from Tiberius, and, later on, the honour of the Neocorate (i.e. the wardenship of the temple for Emperor-worship)."

[James Hastings, ed., Dictionary of the Bible, S.V., "Philadel-

city in 17 AD but was gradually rebuilt with the help of the Romans.<sup>8</sup> Thus the citizens of the city lived with uncertainty about the future. Interestingly, this uncertainty cut through the economic heart of the region in 92 AD when emperor Domitian issued an edict banning the planting of new vineyards and calling for the destruction of half of the existing ones because of the desire to protect the wine producers of Italy.<sup>9</sup>

# Literary Aspects:

**Genre:** As has been noted in the previous five messages in chapters two and three, the literary form of the passage centers on the inclusive pattern of an imperial edict issued by the King of Kings. Not an ancient letter, but instead a written decree laying down authoritative demands from Christ that take precedence over any edict coming out of the imperial capital of Rome. The positive tone of commendation of the phial

phia.]

<sup>8</sup>"A severe one in a.d. 17 destroyed the city, and this was followed by a series of intermittent shocks which led the people to continue living outside the city for some years because of the structural instability of many of the city buildings and walls (Strabo, Geog. 12.8.18; 13.4.10; cf. Hemer 1986: 156-57). This disaster made an indelible impact on the contemporary world as the greatest in human history (Pliny HN 2.86.200). The nearby city of Sardis was equally badly hurt (Tacitus Ann. 2.47.3-4), but Philadelphia was among the cities whose tribute was remitted for five years to allow the people to have the resources to rebuild. Hemer (1986: 157) finds an historical allusion to this situation in the promise of Rev 3:12a: 'He who conquers, I will make him a pillar in the temple of my God; never shall he go out of it ...' The citizens of Philadelphia subsequently expressed warm gratitude on inscriptions and coins to the emperor Tiberius for his assistance in the process of rebuilding their city, and they later took the name 'Neocaesarea.' Still later, under Vespasian, it took another imperial name, Flavia (BMC, 60 and 60 of Vespasian; 62 of Domitian). Hemer suggests that this adoption of the name of the divine emperor is referenced and contrasted with the offer of a better and more lasting name in Rev 3:12b: 'And I will write on him the name of my God, and the name of the city of my God, the new Jerusalem which comes down from my God out of heaven.'[The Yale Anchor Bible Dictionary, 5:30]

<sup>9</sup>"Another negative event that burned itself upon the collective memory of the people of Philadelphia was an edict from the emperor Domitian in a.d. 92 (practically contemporary with the probable date of the Apocalypse). In the interest of protecting the vine growers of Italy (or perhaps to encourage the production of grain at the expense of grapes), Domitian required at least half the vineyards in the provinces to be cut down and no new ones planted (Suet. Dom. 7, 2; 14.2; Philostr. VA 6.42; VS 1.21). As can be imagined, this edict was bitterly unpopular in Asia and the source of severe hardship in a city like Philadelphia, which was so dependent on viticulture (cf. Rev 6:6) and where Dionysius was the chief deity. Thus the contrast between the character of Christ, who will never betray his people who have continued to confess his name even when they are weak and rejected (Rev 3:8, 10, 11), and that of the imperial god who had disappointed them so severely." [The Yale Anchor Bible Dictionary, 5:30]

church in Philadelphia provides opportunity for some elaboration of details not found in the other messages.

The sub-genre elements found in the other messages also surface here as well. These include:

1) Adscriptio (v. 7a): Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῆς ἐν Φιλαδελφεία ἐκκλησίας γράψον.

2) Τάδε λέγει with title (v. 7b): Τάδε λέγει ὁ ἅγιος, ὁ ἀληθινός, ὁ ἔχων τὴν κλεῖν Δαυίδ, ὁ ἀνοίγων καὶ οὐδεὶς κλείσει καὶ κλείων καὶ οὐδεὶς ἀνοίγει.

**3)** οἶδά σου τὰ ἕργα (v. 8a) introducing the Narratio (vv. 8-9) and the Dispositio (vv. 10-11).

4) Victory promise (v. 12): Ό νικῶν ποιήσω αὐτὸν στῦλον ἐν τῷ ναῷ τοῦ θεοῦ μου καὶ ἔξω οὐ μὴ ἐξέλθῃ ἔτι καὶ γράψω ἐπ' αὐτὸν τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ μου καὶ τὸ ὄνομα τῆς πόλεως τοῦ θεοῦ μου, τῆς καινῆς Ἰερουσαλὴμ ἡ καταβαίνουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μου, καὶ τὸ ὄνομά μου τὸ καινόν.

άκουσάτω τί τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις.

The one missing element found in most of the other messages is the  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$   $\xi\chi\omega$  κατ $\dot{\alpha}$  σο $\tilde{\nu}$ ... criticism of the church with allegations of failure at some point of commitment. Only the churches at Smyrna and Philadelphia contain just commendation and encouragement.

**Literary Setting:** As has been the case consistently the sequential listing of this message as the sixth decree is not due to theological concerns. Rather, it is born of geography where Philadelphia would have come sixth in a circuit trip beginning with Ephesus and traveling northward and then southward with the return to Ephesus from Laodicea, the final city on the circuit.

**Literary Structure:** As is made clearer visually by the block diagram below, the internal arrangement of core ideas within the passage highlight the organizing sub-genre elements described above in the **Genre** section.

5) Hearing Admonition (v. 13): Ὁ ἔχων οὖς Ger

103

to the angel of the church in Philadelphia write:

104 These things says the Holy One,

the True One the One possessing the keys of David the One who opens and no one shuts and who closes and no one opens,

105 <sup>3.8</sup> I know your works,

Indeed,

106 I have put a door before you,

open which no one can close because you have some power and you have kept my word and you have not denied My name.

<sup>3.9</sup> Indeed

#### 107 I will make. . . of those calling themselves Jews

and

from the synagogue of Satan

108 they are not but

110

109 they are lying.

Indeed,

I will make them

that they come and they bow down before your feet and they will know that I have loved you.

3.10 Because you have kept my word of patient endurance 111 I also will keep you from the hour of testing that is going to come upon all the inhabitants to test those dwelling upon the earth. **112** <sup>3.11</sup> I am coming quikly; 113 strengthen what you have so that no one may take your crown. 3.12 The one overcoming 114 I will make him a pillar in the temple of My God and 115 he will never ever leave it and 116 I will write upon him the name of My God and the name of the city of My God the new Jerusalem which comes down out of Heaven from My God and my new name

<sup>3:13</sup> The one having an ear

#### 117 let him hear

what the Spirit is saying to the churches.

## Rhetorical Analysis of Structure

The above diagram highlights the literary forms contained in this passage in the following break-down.

Adscriptio: # 103 Τάδε λέγει with title: # 104 οἶδά σου τὰ ἕργα: # 105 *Narratio*: #s 106-110 *Dispositio*: #s 111-113 Victory Promise: #s 114-116 Hearing Admonition: # 117

These segments follow the nature thought flow in the text.

# Exegesis of the Text:

The above literary structure thus serves as the organizing outline for the exegesis of the passage below.

# A. Command to write, v. 7a

7 Καὶ τῷ ἀγγέλῳ τῆς ἐν Φιλαδελφεία ἐκκλησίας γράψον 7 And to the angel of the church in Philadelphia write:

As has been the case in all of the previous letters the command to John is to direct the message to the "angel of the church." This apocalyptic image symbolizes the historical congregation in the ancient Asian city of Philadelphia. The image of  $\ddot{\alpha}\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\circ\varsigma$  highlights

the role of divine messenger for the church in the proclamation of the Gospel to the world around the church. Located some thirty miles southeast of Sardis, the city was situated on the main road leading east into Phrygia. The god of wine, Dionysus,  $\Delta$ ióvuooç (= Bacchus in the Roman tradition), was one of the primary Greek deities worshiped in the city, due to the substantial production of



wine in the region. Located in the Roman administrative district of Sardis, a part of the province of Asia, the city enjoyed commercial prosperity and trade success during this period of time.

# B. Situation of the church, vv. 7b-11

7b Τάδε λέγει ὁ ἅγιος, ὁ ἀληθινός, ὁ ἔχων τὴν κλεῖν Δαυίδ, ὁ ἀνοίγων καὶ οὐδεὶς κλείσει καὶ κλείων καὶ οὐδεὶς ἀνοίγει· 8 οἶδά σου τὰ ἔργα, ἰδοὺ δέδωκα ἐνώπιόν σου θύραν ἡνεῳγμένην, ἡν οὐδεὶς δύναται κλεῖσαι αὐτήν, ὅτι μικρὰν ἕχεις δύναμιν καὶ ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον καὶ οὐκ ἡρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου. 9 ἰδοὺ

διδῶ ἐκ τῆς συναγωγῆς τοῦ σατανᾶ τῶν λεγόντων ἑαυτοὺς Ἰουδαίους εἶναι, καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν ἀλλὰ ψεύδονται. ἰδοὺ ποιήσω αὐτοὺς ἵνα ἥξουσιν καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν ἐνώπιον τῶν ποδῶν σου καὶ γνῶσιν ὅτι ἐγὼ ἠγάπησά σε. 10 ὅτι ἐτήρησας τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου, κἀγώ σε τηρήσω ἐκ τῆς ὥρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ τῆς μελλούσης ἔρχεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης πειράσαι τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς. 11 ἕρχομαι ταχύ· κράτει ὃ ἔχεις, ἵνα μηδεὶς λάβῃ τὸν στέφανόν σου.

These are the words of the holy one, the true one, who has the key of David, who opens and no one will shut.

who shuts and no one opens:

8 I know your works. Look, I have set before you an open door, which no one is able to shut. I know that you have but little power, and yet you have kept my word and have not denied my name. 9 I will make those of the synagogue of Satan who say that they are Jews and are not, but are lying — I will make them come and bow down before your feet, and they will learn that I have loved you. 10 Because you have kept my word of patient endurance, I will keep you from the hour of trial that is coming on the whole world to test the inhabitants of the earth. 11 I am coming soon; hold fast to what you have, so that no one may seize your crown.

This section of the message contains the standard sub-genre elements, although somewhat expanded in comparison to the other messages.

Τάδε λέγει ὁ ἅγιος, ὁ ἀληθινός, ὁ ἔχων τὴν κλεῖν Δαυίδ, ὁ ἀνοίγων καὶ οὐδεἰς κλείσει καὶ κλείων καὶ οὐδεἰς ἀνοίγει (v. 7b). The standard Τάδε λέγει, these things says..., introduces this section as an official proclamation to the church in Philadelphia. This set formula introduces the material at this same place in the message to each of the seven churches.

The point of variation comes with the identification of the One speaking. Here we find the longest title identification of the speaker in all of the letters. Also it has the least direct ties to the portrait of Christ in chapter one, although some connection does exist.

ό ἄγιος, ὁ ἀληθινός, the Holy One, the True One. These two Greek adjectives are here used as nouns and as formal titles -- something very uncommon for the New Testament.<sup>10</sup> Only in 6:10 are these two adjectives found again in Revelation, and in reference to God: ὁ δεοπότης ὁ ἅγιος καὶ ἀληθινός, Sovereign Lord holy and true. Isaiah 65:16 stands in the background of the 6:10 reference.<sup>11</sup> Inside the NT generally these two

<sup>10</sup>For this reason several variant readings of the text surface here:

Variants: (1) ὁ ἄλιος, ὁ ἀληθινός] C Andreas Byzantine latt syr cop Epiphanius. (2) ὁ ἀληθινός ὁ ἅγιος,] × A. (3) ὁ ἅγιος] fam 1611<sup>2050</sup> Oecumenius<sup>2053</sup>. (4) ὁ ἅγγελος ἀληθινός] 2351. [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 229]

<sup>11</sup>Isa. 65:16. Then whoever invokes a blessing in the land shall bless by *the God of faithfulness*, and whoever takes an oath in the land shall swear by *the God of faithfulness*; because the former

terms are not commonly used in reference to Christ.<sup>12</sup> Perhaps part of the incentive for using these two terms as titles came from the use of  $\check{\alpha}\gamma_{IO\zeta}$  in reference to the Roman emperor. The second term  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta_{IV}\dot{\alpha}\zeta$  in the sense of trustworthy may very well come out of the gouging of the people of Philadelphia by the emperor Domitian in 92 AD in regard to the wine production in the region. In contrast to his lack to consistent action and untrustworthiness toward the city stands Christ as the very epitome of these virtures.

ό ἕχων τὴν κλεῖν Δαυίδ, the One possessing the key of David. Quite clearly this depends on Isaiah 22:22.<sup>13</sup> troubles are forgotten and are hidden from my sight.

ö εὐλογηθήσεται ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς· εὐλογήσουσιν γὰρ τὸν θεὸν τὸν ἀληθινόν, καὶ οἱ ὀμνύοντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς ὀμοῦνται τὸν θεὸν τὸν ἀληθινόν· ἐπιλήσονται γὰρ τὴν θλῖψιν αὐτῶν τὴν πρώτην, καὶ οὐκ ἀναβήσεται αὐτῶν ἐπὶ τὴν καρδίαν.

<sup>12</sup>"The phrase 'holy and true' is used elsewhere in Revelation only once in an imprecatory prayer addressed to God in 6:10 in an asyndetic form ὁ ἅγιος, ὁ ἀληθινός, 'the holy, the true,' though the terms are not used together elsewhere in early Jewish or early Christian literature as titles or attributes of God (on the separate occurrence of these two titles as attributes of God, see Comment on 6:10). The substantivized adjective ἄγιος, 'holy,' is only used occasionally of Jesus (Mark 1:24 = Luke 4:34 John 6:69; Acts 3:14; 4:27, 30; 1 Clem 23:5 [allusions to LXX Isa 13:22 and Mal 3:1 in which ἅγιος is substituted for ἅγγελος]; Diogn. 9.2; Justin Dial. 116.1; Clement of Alex. Paed. 1.7; cf. Luke 1:35; 1 John 2:20). The term 'holy' was an epithet used in the cult of the Roman emperor (Sauter, Kaiserkult, 105–16). The adjectives  $d\lambda\eta\theta$ ivóc and  $d\lambda\eta\theta$ ic are only occasionally used of Jesus (Mark 12:14 = Matt 22:16; John 7:18), and in Rev 19:11 άληθινός is used as the name of the alder on the white horse." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 236]

<sup>13</sup>Isa. 22:20-25. 20 On that day I will call my servant Eliakim son of Hilkiah, 21 and will clothe him with your robe and bind your sash on him. I will commit your authority to his hand, and he shall be a father to the inhabitants of Jerusalem and to the house of Judah. 22 I will place on his shoulder the key of the house of David; he shall open, and no one shall shut; he shall shut, and no one shall open. 23 I will fasten him like a peg in a secure place, and he will become a throne of honor to his ancestral house. 24 And they will hang on him the whole weight of his ancestral house, the offspring and issue, every small vessel, from the cups to all the flagons. 25 On that day, says the Lord of hosts, the peg that was fastened in a secure place will give way; it will be cut down and fall, and the load that was on it will perish, for the Lord has spoken.

20 καὶ ἔσται ἐν τῷ ἡμέρα ἐκείνῃ καλέσω τὸν παῖδά μου Ελιακιμ τὸν τοῦ Χελκιου† 21 καὶ ἐνδύσω αὐτὸν τὴν στολήν σου καὶ τὸν στέφανόν σου δώσω αὐτῷ καὶ τὸ κράτος καὶ τὴν οἰκονομίαν σου δώσω εἰς τὰς χεῖρας αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἔσται ὡς πατὴρ τοῖς ἐνοικοῦσιν ἐν Ιερουσαλημ καὶ τοῖς ἐνοικοῦσιν ἐν Ιουδα.† 22 καὶ δώσω τὴν δόζαν Δαυιδ αὐτῷ, καὶ ἄρζει, καὶ οὐκ ἔσται ὁ ἀντιλέγων.† 23 καὶ στήσω αὐτὸν ἄρχοντα ἐν τόπῳ πιστῷ, καὶ ἔσται εἰς θρόνον δόξης τοῦ οἴκου τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ.† 24 καὶ ἔσται πεποιθὡς ἐπ' αὐτὸν πᾶς ἔνδοξος ἐν τῷ οἴκῷ τοῦ πατρὸς αὐτοῦ ἀπὸ μικροῦ ἕως μεγάλου καὶ ἔσονται ἐπικρεμάμενοι αὐτῷ.† 25 ἐν τῷ ἡμέρα ἐκείνῃ — τάδε λέγει κύριος σαβαωθ — κινηθήσεται ὁ ἄνθρωπος ὁ ἐστηριγμένος ἐν τόπῳ πιστῷ καὶ πεσεῖται, καὶ ἀφαιρεθήσεται ἡ δόζα ἡ ἑπ' αὐτόν, ὅτι κύριος ἐλάλησεν.† Additionally it reaches back to Rev. 1:18c, καὶ ἔχω τὰς κλεῖς τοῦ θανάτου καὶ τοῦ ἄδου, and I have the keys of Death and of Hades. This is a symbol of authority and power granted to the holder by God.<sup>14</sup> Thus in His ascension to Heaven Christ has been granted the highest authority of Heaven.<sup>15</sup>

ό ἀνοίγων καὶ οὐδεἰς κλείσει καὶ κλείων καὶ οὐδεἰς ἀνοίγει.<sup>16</sup> This modifying participle phrase merely am-

<sup>14</sup>"The reference to the key of David is a clear allusion to Isa 22:22: 'And I will place on his shoulder the key of the house of David; he shall open, and none shall shut; and he shall shut and none shall open.' In the context of Isa 22:22, the key, the robe, and the sash (v 21) are symbols of the authority and power of the royal vizier or steward, in this case of Eliashib, who will take the place of the disgraced and exiled Shebna (vv 15-20; see 2 Kgs 18:18 = Isa 36:3). Tg. Isa. 22:22 (Chilton, Isaiah Tatum 44-45) expands on the promise to Eliakim: 'And I will place the key of the sanctuary and the authority of the house of David in his hand; and he will open, and none shall shut; and he will shut, and none shall open.' However, this promise is reversed in Tg. Isa. 22:25. Chilton aries that the promise to Eliakim was understood as permanent in an earlier form of the targumic tradition, much like the formulation in Rev 3:7; Matt 16:19 (B. Chilton, "Shebna, Eliakim, and the Promise to Peter," in The Social World of Formative Christianity and Judaism, ed. J. Neusner et al. [Philadelphia: Fortress, 1988] 322-24). This does not mean the key to the heavenly kingdom, as in Matt 16:19 (contra Hadorn, 60; Bousset [1906] 226). Matt 16:19 also alludes to Isa 22:22 (J. A. Emerton, "Binding and Loosing-Forgiving and Retaining," JTS 13 [1962] 325-31). The indeclinable Δαυίδ is an objective genitive, and the phrase refers to the key to the Davidic or messianic kingdom, i.e., to the true Israel (Horst, Proskynein, 254). A relatively close parallel is found in a Coptic magical exorcism (Kropp, Koptische Zaubertexte 2:151-52); 'Davithe with the golden hair, whose eyes are lightning, you are the one in whose hand is the key of deity; when you shut, no one can open again, and when you open, no man can shut again.' The fact that this papyrus very probably dates from the fifth century a.d. (see V. Stegemann, Die Gestalt Christi in den koptischen Zaubertexten [Heidelberg: Bilabel, 1934] 19-20) suggests that the author is dependent on Revelation." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 236]

<sup>15</sup>"The point of the quotation is that Jesus holds the power over salvation and judgment. In 1:18 the stress is on his sovereignty over death and judgment, while in 3:7 the emphasis is on his authority over those entering the kingdom. John compares the historical situation of Eliakim in relation to Israel with that of Christ in relation to the church in order to help the readers better understand the position that Christ now holds as head of the true Israel and how this affects them. The quotation could be a polemic against the local synagogue, which claimed that only those worshiping within their doors could be considered God's true people and which may even have excommunicated Christian Jews. 192 In this respect, the Targumic paraphrase of Isa. 22:22 rendered the quotation even more appropriately to the situation of the church: 'I will place the key of the sanctuary and the authority of the house of David in his hand.' Ethnic Israel, which was claiming to be the divine agent wielding the power of salvation and judgment, no longer held this position. Christ's followers could be assured that the doors to the true synagogue were open to them, whereas the doors remained closed to those who rejected Christ.193" [The New International Greek Testament Commentary, The Book of Revelation, p. 285]

<sup>16</sup>"Some find ὁ ἀνοίγων καὶ οὐδεὶς κλείσει ... unusual and

plifies the exclusive authority granted to the key holder. He alone possesses authority to open and close the door of access to the king. It was added in all likelihood because of its inclusion in a similar construction in the Isa. 22:22 text from which this idea is taken.

The strong emphasis on Christ's authority being both sacred and dependable very possibility comes out of the context of Domitian proving himself to not do what is right by the Philadelphians with his decree against wine production in the region. This came around the time of the writing of Revelation, if not just before, and caused substantial economic hardship on the region. The Roman emperor had proven himself to be just the opposite of Christ, the true King of Kings. The believers should look to Christ for the right kind of leadership in their lives.

## Narratio:

oἶδά σου τὰ ἕργα (v. 8a), I know your actions. Found in all of the messages (e.g., 2:2, 3, 9, 13, 19; 3:1, 8, 15), the oἶδά statements affirm Christ's supernatural knowledge of the details of the situation that believers were facing in Philadelphia. This kind of beyond human understanding is emphasized in the fourth gospel about Jesus as well: Jhn. 1:47-48; 2:25; 4:16-19; 6:61, 64; 18:4; 21:17.

The direct object σου τὰ ἕργα, your deeds, covers both actions of Christian service and ethical behavior as well. It is all encompassing in its reference.

iδοù δέδωκα<sup>17</sup> ἐνώπιόν σου θύραν ἀνεωγμένην, ἡν οὐδεὶς δύναται κλεῖσαι αὐτήν (v. 8b), indeed I have placed before you an open door which no one is able to shut. The image of an 'open door' inside the NT reflects two distinctly different meanings: 1) opportunity for evangelization and 2) entrance into eschatological salvation.<sup>18</sup>

<sup>17</sup>"In the LXX, διδόναι is often used as a synonym of τιθέναι, since Heb, ιπ*ātan* can mean both 'to give' and 'to place, set' (Thackeray, Grammar, 39; Robertson, Grammar, 95; Black, Aramaic Approach, 132–33; id., "Some Greek Words," 145–46). According to Louw-Nida, § 85.32 (τίθημι) and 85.33 (δίδωμι) belong to the same semantic subdomain." [*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 230]

<sup>18</sup>"There are essentially two possible meanings: (1) opportunities for effective evangelization (1 Cor 16:9; 2 Cor 2:12; Col 4:3; see Ramsay, Letters, 404; Charles, 1:87), especially the conversion of the Jews; see v 9 (Caird, 51); (2) guaranteed access to eschatological salvation (see Luke 13:24), i.e., entrance into the messianic kingdom, i.e., the New Jerusalem; see Isa 26:2 interpreted eschatologically (Beckwith, 480; Bousset [1906] 227; Lohmeyer, 35: Page 8

classify it as a Semitism, but sentences in which finite verbs follow participles occur elsewhere in Revelation (e.g., see on 1:5; 3:9), the NT, and classical writings.<sup>195</sup> Here the construction may due to the fact that the LXX (B) of Isa. 22:22 has finite verbs followed by periphrastic futures composed of forms of είμι ('be') followed by participles." [*The New International Greek Testament Commentary, The Book of Revelation*, p. 285]

Clearly as an extension of the oĩðá σou τὰ ἕργα and also of ὁ ἔχων τὴν κλεῖν Δαυίδ, the second meaning is the preferred one here.<sup>19</sup> This is further signaled by the perfect tense verb δέδωκα. The door as a symbol of access to God through Christ is ἀνεψγμένην, open. Christ has opened up access to God and as the relative clause ἢν οὐδεἰς δύναται κλεῖσαι αὐτήν affirms, absolutely no one can close off this access to Almighty God.

ὅτι μικρὰν ἔχεις δύναμιν καὶ ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον καὶ οὐκ ἠρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου (v. 8c), because you have limited strength and have not denied my name. This ὅτι clause provides the basis of the οἶδά σου τὰ žργα, I know your actions, core statement.<sup>20</sup> The first Kraft, 81; Lohse, 33; Roloff, 61). The metaphor of the 'open door' is found twice in the undisputed letters of Paul (1 Cor 16:9; 2 Cor 2:12) and also occurs in Col 4:3; Acts 14:27 (on the metaphorical use of θύρα in early Christian literature, see LPGL, 658). In all these contexts (except Acts 14:27), it means that opportunities to proclaim the gospel have been made possible, and for this reason Louw-Nida (§ 71.9) understand ἀνοίγω θύραν as an idiom meaning 'to make it possible.' It is therefore probable that the metaphor has a fixed meaning among Christians and refers to opportunities for evangelization (Ramsay, Letters, 404); however, the fact that missionary activity is never mentioned elsewhere in Revelation and also that such an emphasis does not fit the context makes this meaning doubtful. In Acts 14:27 the door is open to the hearers, not the proclaimers. In Barn. 16:9, a person 'opens the door' by repenting, a usage with a parallel in John 10:7-9, and with an even closer parallel in Midr. Cant. 5:2. In Isa 45:1, the Lord promises to 'open doors' before Cyrus, i.e., to allow him victories in his campaigns of conquest; i.e., he will be able to break down the gates of besieged cities. There are no parallels in early Jewish literature outside the NT within the first century or earlier, which suggests the Hellenistic origin of this figure of speech (Deissmann, Light, 303), though the metaphor is used in various ways in later rabbinic literature (Str-B 3:484–85). Epictetus frequently uses the phrase ή θύρα ἤνοικται with the meaning 'I am free to go anywhere' (e.g., 1.9.20; 3.8.6), but it can also refer to the ultimate freedom to commit suicide (3.13.14; see 3.22.34)." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 237]

<sup>19</sup>"As a result, he has set before the church an 'open door' (3:8). It is unlikely that the expression refers to a missionary opportunity (cf. 2 Cor 2:12); Revelation shows little interest in such matters. More likely is that the expression has to do with access to God. The same expression is used in 4:1, where John, seeing an open door in heaven, is caught up (presumably through that door) into heaven. The other use of 'door' in Revelation is in 3:20, where Jesus refers to a door through which he will enter to eat with 'any one' who opens it. The church at Philadelphia has little power; hence, Jesus himself has opened the door that enables its members to fellowship with God. Since he has the key of David, no one is able to shut the door (3:7–8)." [*Reading Revelation: A Literary and Theological Commentary*, p. 48]

<sup>20</sup>"This understanding of v 8 means that the introductory οἶδά σου τὰ ἕργα ('I know your works') is intentionally interrupted by a parenthetical statement (iδού ... ['behold ...']) and is picked up again and continued in v 8b with a declarative ὅτι: 'I know your deeds (behold, I have put before you an open door, which no one can shut), that you have a little power, have kept my word, and have not denied my name.' This syntactical analysis (so KJV, UBS3) is supported by the use of the same introductory clause οἶδά

main expression, μικρὰν ἔχεις δύναμιν, could imply minimum spiritual strength, but more likely suggests a small Christian community in the city, which itself was not a large city.<sup>21</sup> The tendency toward frequent earth-quakes discouraged much building inside the city.<sup>22</sup> Yet their smallness did not lead to neglect by Christ. He gave them the open door to salvation.

The second main clause, καὶ ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον, underscores their faithful adherence to the Word of Christ. The concept of 'keeping the Word' is found frequently inside the NT, and with some frequency inside Revelation as well. Several Greek expressions get <u>at the idea, and have a Jewish origin.<sup>23</sup> The essential</u> σου τὰ ἕργα ('I know your works') in 3:2, which in 3:15 is directly followed by a statement of the content of the 'works' introduced by a declarative ὅτι ('that'). The same phenomenon is observable in 2:2 and 2:9, though without the ὅτι. Another alternative is to take the first four words of 3:8 ('I know your works') as one sentence and the remainder of the verse as a second sentence (so RSV). This would imply that Christ's giving of 'an open door' is the ultimate basis for the church's works." [*The New International Greek Testament Commentary, The Book of Revelation*, p. 286]

<sup>21</sup>"This ὅτι clause concludes the sentence begun in v 8ab and provides the reason that the exalted Christ has placed an open door before the Philadelphian Christians. They have limited strength and are not able to open such metaphorical doors with their own resources. This statement suggests that the Christian community was relatively small, and indeed we know from the report of Strabo that the population of Philadelphia itself was relatively small since many chose to live outside the city on farms (12.8.18; 13.4.10)." [*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 237]

<sup>22</sup>"In Philadelphia, the city near it, not even the walls are safe, but in a sense are shaken and caused to crack every day. And the inhabitants are continually attentive to the disturbances in the earth and plan all structures with a view to their occurrence." [Strabo, *Geography*, 12.8.18]

"After the Lydians come the Mysians; and the city Philadelphia, ever subject to earthquakes. Incessantly the walls of the houses are cracked, different parts of the city being thus affected at different times. For this reason few people live in the city, and most of them spend their lives as farmers in the country, since they have a fertile soil. Yet one may be surprised at the few, that they are so fond of the place when their dwellings so insecure; and one might marvel still more at those who founded the city." [Strabo, *Geography*, 13.4.10]

<sup>23</sup>"The phrase τηρεῖν τὸν λόγον, 'to keep the word,' is repeated in v 10, and is found with a plural object in 22:7, 9: τηρεῖν τοὺς λόγους (see John 8:51, 52, 55; 14:23, 24; 15:20; 17:6; 1 John 2:5; cf. Luke 11:28: φυλάσσειν τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ; 1 Kgdms 15:11). In 1 John 2:3–5, the phrases τηρεῖν τὰς ἐντολάς and τηρεῖν τὸν λόγον have the same meaning (Spicq, *Lexicographie* 1:252). In Hebrew the term דבד *dābār* can mean 'word' as well as 'commandment' (1QS 1:13, where the phrase 'ται' as well as 'commands of God'), and the Decalogue is called 'the ten words' (see Excursus 12B: The Commandments of God and the Torah). The parallel phrase τηρεῖν τὰς ἐντολάς, 'to keep the commandments,' occurs in Rev 12:17; 14:12 (see Matt 19:17; John 14:15, 21; 15:10; 1 Tim 6:14; 1 John 2:3, 4; 3:22, 24; 5:3). In John and 1 John, the phrases are therefore used interchangeably, just as they are in Revelation." [*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 238] idea is an affirmation of the obedience of the Philadelphian Christians to the commands of Christ.

The third main clause, καὶ οὐκ ἀρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου, stands in antithetical parallel<sup>24</sup> to the previous core expression, καὶ ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον. Thus Christ stresses their obedience with a denial of any disobedience on their part.

Despite its small size this Christian community stood tall among the seven churches by its strict adherence to the teachings of Christ.<sup>25</sup> This compares favorably to some of the other affirmations:


**Ephesus (2:2a):** οἶδα τὰ ἔργα σου καὶ τὸν κόπον καὶ τὴν ὑπομονήν σου, I know your works, your toil and your patient endurance.

**Pergamum (2:13):** οἶδα ποῦ κατοικεῖς, ὅπου ὁ θρόνος τοῦ σατανᾶ, καὶ κρατεῖς τὸ ὄνομά μου καὶ οὐκ ἡρνήσω τὴν πίστιν μου καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἡμέραις Ἀντιπᾶς ὁ μάρτυς μου ὁ πιστός μου, ὃς ἀπεκτάνθη παρ' ὑμῖν, ὅπου ὁ σατανᾶς κατοικεῖ. I know where you are living, where Satan's throne is. Yet you are holding fast to my name, and you did not deny your faith in me even in the days of Antipas my witness, my faithful one, who was killed among you, where Satan lives.

Thyatira (2:19): οἶδά σου τὰ ἕργα καὶ τὴν ἀγάπην καὶ τὴν πίστιν καὶ τὴν διακονίαν καὶ τὴν ὑπομονήν σου, καὶ τὰ ἕργα σου τὰ ἔσχατα πλείονα τῶν πρώτων. I know your works — your love, faith, service, and patient endurance. I know that your last works are greater than the first.

ίδοὺ διδῶ ἐκ τῆς συναγωγῆς τοῦ σατανᾶ τῶν λεγόντων ἑαυτοὺς ἰουδαίους εἶναι, καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν ἀλλὰ

ψεύδονται (v. 9a). The iδoù adds heightened importance to the subsequent statement (cf. also iδoù in vv. 8b, 9b).<sup>26</sup> It is very common to NT usage generally, with this meaning.



What is stressed is the declaration made concerning the Jewish synagogue at Philadelphia. First comes a denial of authentic Jewishness by these peo-

<sup>24</sup>Ancient Jewish antithetical parallelism was a literary device of emphasis on one central point, usually stated in the first strophe. By immediately following the positive affirmation in the first strophe with a denial of its opposite in the second strophe, the initial point was re-enforced.

<sup>25</sup>One intriguing implication comes out of a comparative study of the seven churches. The two smallest churches of the seven, Smyrna and Philadelphia, are the only two which do not receive criticism and have only commendation by Christ. Is there a possible correlation between church size and levels of spirituality?

26ίδου δέδωκα ἐνώπιόν σου θύραν ἠνεωγμένην, ἣν οὐδεὶς δύναται κλεῖσαι αὐτήν (ν. 8b)

ίδου διδῶ ἐκ τῆς συναγωγῆς τοῦ σατανᾶ τῶν λεγόντων ἑαυτούς Ιουδαίους εἶναι, καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν ἀλλὰ ψεύδονται. (v. 9a)

**ἰδοὺ** ποιήσω αὐτοὺς ἵνα ἥζουσιν καὶ προσκυνήσουσιν ἐνώπιον τῶν ποδῶν σου καὶ γνῶσιν ὅτι ἐγὼ ἠγάπησά σε. (v. 9b) ple. Christ labels them as non-Jews! This is virtually the same accusation leveled against the synagogue in Smyrna (2:9):

## οἶδά σου τὴν θλῖψιν καὶ τὴν πτωχείαν, ἀλλὰ πλούσιος εἶ, καὶ τὴν βλασφημίαν ἐκ τῶν λεγόντων Ἰουδαίους εἶναι ἑαυτοὺς καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν ἀλλὰ συναγωγὴ τοῦ σατανᾶ.

I know your affliction and your poverty, even though you are rich. I know the slander on the part of those who say that they are Jews and are not, but are a synagogue of Satan.

Perhaps the small size of both towns created much greater interaction between the Christians and the Jews which led to hostility from the synagogue. The Jewish origins of Christianity, as well as some local Jews having converted to Christianity, caused the believers to be perceived as a real threat to the synagogue communities.

The Greek construction here in v. 9a is a bit puzzling to modern language translators, because it begins an idea but doesn't complete it.<sup>27</sup> The completed sentence in 9b finishes the idea introduced in  $9a.^{28}$ What comes initially in v. 9a is an identification of who is meant by  $\alpha\dot{u}\tau\dot{u}\dot{u}\varsigma$ , them, in v. 9b.

Who is Christ referring to then? First they come  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$   $\tau\eta\varsigma \sigma\nu\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma\eta\varsigma \tau\sigma\tilde{\nu}\sigma\alpha\tau\alpha\nu\tilde{\alpha}$ , from the synagogue of Satan. Although claiming to be the true followers of the God of Abraham, in reality they are serving Satan rather than God. Very likely this implies persecution of Christians in the city.<sup>29</sup> Second,  $\tau\omega\nu\lambda\epsilon\gamma\dot{\omega}\tau\omega\nu\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\tau\sigma\dot{\omega}c$  'louδαíouc

<sup>27</sup>"ίδοὺ διδῶ ἐκ τῆς συναγωγῆς τοῦ σατανᾶ τῶν λεγόντων έαυτούς Ιουδαίους είναι, καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν ἀλλὰ ψεύδονται, 'Behold, I will cause those of the synagogue of Satan who call themselves Jews (but are not; they are lying)-.' This statement, with the exception of the last word, is verbally identical with the statement made in 2:9 (see Comment there). This is not a complete sentence since  $\delta_i \delta_i \delta_i$  has the partitive genitive as an object but lacks an infinitive. In this use of δίδοναι with the meaning 'to cause,' the result of the action of the verb would normally be indicated by an accusative (here the partitive genitive is equivalent to the accusative  $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \tau \sigma \dot{\upsilon} \varsigma$ ) and an infinitive (or, in the style of Revelation, a  $\ddot{\upsilon} \omega \alpha$ clause). In the next clause, the author resumes the thought begun in v 9a by switching to a verb with nearly the same meaning,  $\pi o i \eta \sigma \omega$ , 'to cause' (Louw-Nida, § 13.9, mistakenly separate the causal meaning of  $\pi$ oisiv from the causal meaning of  $\delta$ idóvai, Louw-Nida, § 90.51), and then provides a more explicit object than that found in v 9a, αὐτούς, 'them,' followed by a ἵνα clause, which indicates the result of the action. This is an instance of a participle followed not by two more participles, as one might expect, but by two finite verbs; here ɛivaı in ordinary Greek would be expressed through the present participle ὄντων, while ψεύδονται would be written as ψευδόμενων. For other examples of this Semitic syntactical phenomenon see 1:5-6, 17-18; 2:2, 9, 20, 23; 7:14; 12:2; 13:11; 14:2-3; 15:2-3; 20:4 (Schmid, Studien 2:242-43; Mussies, Morphology, 326–28)." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 238]

<sup>28</sup>The verbs διδ $\tilde{\omega}$  (v. 9a) and ποιήσ $\omega$  (v. 9b) are interchangeable in meaning, both here and elsewhere in ancient Greek usage.

<sup>29</sup>In the second century, Ignatius in his *Letter to the Philadel*-Page 10

εἶναι, they are calling themselves Jews. Even though ethnic Jews, Christ does not accept this as the basis for authentic Jewishness, as His subsequent words make clear: καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν ἀλλὰ ψεύδονται, and they are not but are lying. Interestingly, the Jewish Talmud some time later comes close to making the same statement about Jews in this region.<sup>30</sup> Because they do not believe in Christ, they are proclaimed here non-Jews by the Lord. One's Jewishness is a spiritual issue and not an ethnic issue from Christ's point of view.

ἰδοù ποιήσω αὐτοὺς ĭνα ήξουσιν ĸαì προσκυνήσουσιν ένώπιον τῶν ποδῶν σου καὶ γνῶσιν ὅτι ἐγὼ ἠγάπησά σε (v. 9c). This sentence completes what was introduced in v. 9b. It has an intense ironical force to it against the backdrop of Jewish apocalyptic expectation. In that tradition Jews expected the Gentile world to grovel at the feet of the Jews with the coming of the expected Messiah. This was based on texts such as Isa. 60:14 and 49:23. But Christ here proclaims that these Jews will have to grovel at the feet of a largely Gentile Christian community in humiliated acknowledgment that the believing community represent the true people of God, rather than them.<sup>31</sup> It is the believing

phians (6.1-6) alludes to Jewish persecution of Christians:

"But if anyone expounds Judaism to you, do not listen to him. For it is better to hear about Christianity from a man who is circumcised than about Judaism from one who is not. But if either of them fail to speak about Jesus Christ, I look on them as tombstones and graves of the dead, upon which only the names of men are inscribed. (2) Flee, therefore, the evil tricks and traps of the ruler of this age, lest you be worn out by his schemes and grow weak in love. Instead gather together, all of you, with an undivided heart. (3) Now I give thanks to my God that I have a clear conscience in my dealings with you, and that no one can boast, either privately or publicly, that I was a burden to anyone in any respect, small or great. Moreover, I pray that all those to whom I spoke will not cause what I said to become a witness against them."

<sup>30</sup>"The economic prosperity of the Jews of this region (see below) suggests that they may have compromised their Judaism to some degree by accommodating to the religious practices of the trade guilds and even imperial religion, which itself had become mixed with local pagan worship (in this respect see further on 2:9). The Talmud (*b. Shabbat* 147b) asserts that 'the wines and the baths of Phrygia have separated the ten tribes from Israel,' which comes close to the pronouncement here that the 'Jews' in Philadelphia are 'not' true Jews but liars.<sup>202</sup>" [*The New International Greek Testament Commentary, The Book of Revelation*, p. 287]

<sup>31</sup>"ἰδοὺ ποιήσω αὐτοὺς ἵνα ἥξουσιν καὶ προκυνήσουσιν ἐνώπιον τῶν ποδῶν σου 'behold, I will force them to come and grovel at your feet.' This is an allusion to Isa 60:14, 'all who despised you shall bow down at your feet,' and perhaps also to Isa 49:23, 'They shall bow down before you and lick the dust of your feet' (see Fekkes, *Isaiah*, 133–35). This same motif occurs in 1QM 19:6 with a doublet in 12:14–15 (tr. García Martínez, *Dead Sea Scrolls*, 115): 'Their kings shall wait on you, [all your oppressors] lie prone before you, and they shall lick the dust of your feet].' The ironical use of this motif is clear: in all these passages the Gentiles are expected to grovel before Israel, while in Rev 3:9 it is the Jews who are expected to grovel before the feet of this (largely gentile)

community who stands as the object of Christ's love: ὅτι ἐγὼ ἠγάπησά σε.

## Dispositio:

öτι ἐτήρησας τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου (v. 10a), Because you have kept my word to endure. This causal clause sets up the basis of the promise expressed in the main clause below. The pre-field position of the dependent clause is unusual for ancient Greek.<sup>32</sup> What is described here is largely repeating ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον καὶ οὐκ ἠρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου, you have kept my Word and have not denied My name (v. 8b).<sup>33</sup> The additional modifier of τῆς ὑπομονῆς, to endure, emphasizes consistency, and probably in the face of persecution from the synagogue community. The Philadelphian believers have remained steadfast in their commitment to Christ in the face of opposition, and Christ commends them for this. In fact it become the basis for His promise to them.

κάγώ σε τηρήσω ἐκ τῆς ὥρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ τῆς μελλούσης ἕρχεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης πειράσαι τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς (v. 10b), and I will keep you from the hour that is going to come upon all the inhabited world in order to test those dwelling upon the earth. The precise meaning of this statement depends on careful understanding of at least three component elements.

1) κάγώ σε τηρήσω ἐκ..., and I will keep you from.... Note first the play on the verb τηρέω. It is used twice but with separate meanings: ἐτήρησας (you have obeyed)... τηρήσω (I will keep). Such is not unusual in

<sup>32</sup>"The ὅτι clause that begins this sentence is in an unusual position, since in the vast majority of instances dependent clauses follow the main clause (other examples of ὅτι clauses in the pre-position are found in Rev 3:16; 18:7; see Turner, Syntax, 345)." [*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 231]

<sup>33</sup>"The phrase τòν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου is problematic. Though the position of  $\mu o v$  after  $\tau \eta \zeta \dot{v} \pi o \mu o v \eta \zeta$  might suggest that it modifies only that substantive (Buttmann, Grammar, 155), it is more probable that µov should be taken with the idea expressed by the entire noun cluster (e.g., Heb 1:3; Col 1:13; Winer, Grammar, 297); see Rev 13:3: ή πληγή τοῦ θανάτου αὐτοῦ, 'his mortal wound.' This is made virtually certain by v 8, where  $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma \delta \zeta \mu o v$ , "my word," is identical with the phrase  $\delta \lambda \delta \gamma \circ \zeta \tau \eta \zeta \upsilon \pi \circ \mu v \eta \zeta \mu \circ \upsilon$  in this verse. If the substantive in the gen. is construed as an obj gen., then the phrase can be rendered "my word [i.e., 'command'] concerning endurance" (this understanding is reflected in the translation above). Charles takes  $\mu o v$  with  $\tau \eta \zeta \dot{v} \pi o \mu o v \eta \zeta$  and understands the entire clause toτòν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου mean 'the Gospel of the endurance practised by Christ' (1:89). The rsv and nrsv take not with the entire noun cluster: 'my word of patient endurance.' The reb and niv also take µov with the entire noun cluster but understand τῆς ὑπομονῆς as an obj gen.; reb: 'my command to stand firm' (see neb: 'you have kept my command and stood fast'); niv: 'my command to endure patiently'." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 232]

Christian community." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 239]

ancient Greek, and is found often in Revelation.<sup>34</sup> The believers keep Christ's word in the sense of obey it, while Christ keeps the believers in the sense of preserving them through a time of trouble. The signal of a shift in meaning comes with the impersonal object  $\tau \delta v \lambda \delta \gamma o v$  (the word) first and then the personal object  $\sigma \epsilon$  (you). Keeping commands means obeying commands; keeping persons means preserving them in times of trouble.

The second use here, however, is  $\tau\eta\rho\eta\sigma\omega\,\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ , I will keep from. Only one other such use is found in the NT, and it is in John 17:15, ouk  $\dot{\epsilon}\rho\omega\tau\omega\,\dot{\nu}\alpha\,\dot{\alpha}\rho\eta\varsigma\,\alpha\dot{\nu}\sigma\dot{\nu}\varsigma\,\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  toũ κόσμου,  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\lambda'$  ἵνα  $\tau\eta\rho\eta\sigma\eta\varsigma\,\alpha\dot{\nu}\sigma\dot{\nu}\varsigma\,\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$  toũ πονηροῦ, I am not asking you to take them out of the world, but I ask you to protect them from the evil one. The general sense is to preserve them from the harmful consequences of what lies ahead, rather than to separate them out so as to not have to go through this time of testing.

2) ἐκ τῆς ὥρας..., from the hour (of testing).... What is the 'hour'? The concept existed in ancient apocalyptic Judaism as "a period of great distress and suffering that early Judaism (Dan 12:1; T. Mos. 8:1; Jub. 23:11–21; 2 Apoc. Bar. 27:1–15; see Volz, Eschatologie, 147–63)... expected would immediately precede the eschatological victory of God."<sup>35</sup> Early Christianity picked up this idea and modified it somewhat as reflected in Mt. 24:15-31; Mk. 13:7-10; Rev. 7:14. Another designation for this is "the woes of the Messiah" as indicated in Hos. 13:13; Isa. 26:16-19; Mic. 4:9-10; Hag. 2:6; Mk. 13:8; Mt. 24:8.

But if τῆς ὥρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ refers to the tribulation (θλίψις) referenced in Rev. 6 - 19 (6:2-17; 8:6-9:21; 12:13-17; 13:7; 16:1-21; 17:6), then Christians will experience the sufferings with Christ 'preserving them through it' rather than exempting them from suffering it.

By definition τῆς ὥρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ refers to every event of suffering that believers undergo until the coming of Christ.

3) τοῦ πειρασμοῦ τῆς μελλούσης ἔρχεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης πειράσαι τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, of testing that is going to come upon the entire world in order to test those who dwell upon the earth. The participle phrase modifier of τοῦ πειρασμοῦ sets up some tension here. By NT definition, πειρασμός refers to an event posing a test of one's faith commitment to Christ.<sup>36</sup> How such a test can be applied to the unbeliev-

<sup>34</sup>"The two uses of the verb τηρεῖν in vv 10a and 10b are examples of paronomasia, in which each usage has a different meaning; τηρεῖν in v 10a means 'obey' (Louw-Nida, § 36.19), while in v 10b it means 'keep, preserve, cause to continue' (Louw-Nida, § 13.32); for other examples of paronomasia in Revelation, see 2:2[2x], 22; 11:18; 14:8; 18:6[3x]. 20, 21; 22:18, 19; cf. BDF §488.1; Turner, *Syntax*, 148." [*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 232]

<sup>35</sup>Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 240.

<sup>36</sup>"1. Πειράζω and ἐκπειράζω occur 38 and 4 times respectively in the NT, πειρασμός 21 times. The distribution varies: The terms do not occur in Romans, Ephesians, Philippians, Colossians, ing world, rather than just to Christians, is not spelled out by John here.<sup>37</sup> Explaining this tension becomes then a matter of pure speculation with no established biblical framework to guide the conclusions.

Additional noting needs to be made. The noun  $\pi\epsilon_{IP}\alpha\sigma_{\mu}\delta\varsigma$  only occurs here (3:10) in Revelation and the verb is found only in 2:2, 10; 3:10. The concept of testing is -- for Revelation -- limited to chapters two and three, and interestingly confined to the churches at Smyrna and Philadelphia.<sup>38</sup> Further, such testing for

2 Thessalonians, 2 Timothy, Titus, Philemon, 1-3 John, or Jude, and hardly at all in John; they occur most frequently in the Synoptics, Acts, 1 Corinthians 10, Hebrews 2–4, James 1, Revelation 2–3.

"2. The fundamental meaning of the stem πειρα- corresponds to a large extent to that of English test and try and Heb. *nsh* (G. Gerleman, THAT II, 69–71): *put to some sort of test*. This testing is accompanied by burdening, risk, uncertainty, and even danger and mistrust. Depending on the intention at hand, the test can be, positively, a test in which one proves oneself or, negatively, an enticement to failure. Since persons are virtually the only object of such testing in the NT, trust, faithfulness, and obedience usually play a role as well. Ἐκπειράζω, on the other hand, means challenge, and is always in the NT directed toward God or Christ. The spectrum of meaning of πειράζω is wider: 1) examine with sincere intentions; 2) test critically with less than serious intentions; 3) threaten, burden, tempt; 4) mislead, seduce; 5) challenge, doubt, mistrust; 6) arrange for or plan something. The nuances can, of course, overlap.

'Πειρασμός, rare in secular Greek, exhibits less extensive variations in meaning. It largely refers to some burden or threat by humans or other powers (affliction, persecution, snares, etc.), i.e., an expressly human experience (only in Heb 3:8 possibly God). Objectively the πειρασμός (only 4 occurrences are pl.) is some danger threatening to cause a person to depart from the correct path. Subjectively this threat is perceived in part as the occasion for worry (God's help is necessary, petition for protection), and in part as a stimulus (opportunity for proving oneself)."

[The Exegetical Dictionary of the New Testament, S.V.,  $\pi\epsilon\iota\rho\alpha\sigma\mu\delta\varsigma$ ,  $\delta\delta$ ]

<sup>37</sup>"This verse has been a crux for the modern argument between the Pretribulation and Posttribulation views on when Christ will return (see Gundry, *Tribulation*, and the responses by Townsend, *BSac* 137 [1980] 252–66; Edgar, GTJ 3 [1982] 19–49; and Winfrey, GTJ 3 [1982] 3–18). Unfortunately, both sides of the debate have ignored the fact that the promise made here pertains to Philadelphian Christians only and cannot be generalized to include Christians in the other churches of Asia, much less all Christians in all places and times. Furthermore, to be 'preserved from the hour of tribulation' means not that they will be physically absent but rather that they will not be touched by that which touches others." [*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 240.]

<sup>38</sup>**Rev. 2:2.** οἶδα τὰ ἕργα σου καὶ τὸν κόπον καὶ τὴν ὑπομονήν σου καὶ ὅτι οὐ δύνῃ βαστάσαι κακούς, καὶ ἐπείρασας τοὺς λέγοντας ἑαυτοὺς ἀποστόλους καὶ οὐκ εἰσὶν καὶ εὖρες αὐτοὺς ψευδεῖς,

I know your works, your toil and your patient endurance. I know that you cannot tolerate evildoers; *you have tested those who claim to be apostles* but are not, and have found them to be false.

**Rev. 2:10.** μηδὲν φοβοῦ ἂ μέλλεις πάσχειν. ἰδοὺ μέλλει βάλλειν ὁ διάβολος ἐξ ὑμῶν εἰς φυλακὴν *ἵνα πειρασθῆτε καὶ ἔζετε* Page 12 the believers at Smyrna (2:10) is linked to α̈ μέλλεις πάσχειν (what you are going to suffer) and θλῖψιν ἡμερῶν δέκα (ten days of affliction), which the believers were going to experience. Here in Philadelphia the promise of Christ in σε τηρήσω ἐκ τῆς ὥρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ is to preserve the believers from the harmful impact of the testing. This clearly signals that for the unbelieving world, they would experience the negative consequences of this testing.

But clearly with this language Christ is speaking of two experiences of suffering and testing exclusively for those at Smyrna and Philadelphia. The extensive experience defined by  $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \tau\eta\varsigma$   $\ddot{\omega}\rho\alpha\varsigma$  τοῦ πειρασμοῦ τῆς μελλούσης ἕρχεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης πειράσαι τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, from the hour of testing that is going to come upon all inhabitants in order to test those dwelling upon the earth, links this experience to that of the Philadelphian believers in their day. Whether this implies the eschatological tribulation (cf. 7:14) or not remains very doubtful.

What is specifically meant by τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, those dwelling on the earth, along with τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης, all the inhabited world, must be understood within the framework of first century Jewish and Christian thinking. Commonly inside Revelation the reference is to the unbelieving world as persecutors of Christians (cf. 6:10; 8:13; 11:10 [2x]; 13:8, 14 [2x]; 17:8).<sup>39</sup> What God is about to do is to πειράσαι θλῖψιν ἡμερῶν δέκα. γίνου πιστὸς ἄχρι θανάτου, καὶ δώσω σοι τὸν στέφανον τῆς ζωῆς.

Do not fear what you are about to suffer. Beware, the devil is about to throw some of you into prison *so that you may be tested, and for ten days you will have affliction*. Be faithful until death, and I will give you the crown of life.

**Rev. 3:10**. ὅτι ἐτήρησας τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου, κἀγώ σε τηρήσω ἐκ τῆς ὥρας τοῦ πειρασμοῦ τῆς μελλούσης ἔρχεσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς οἰκουμένης ὅλης πειράσαι τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς.

Because *you have kept my word of patient endurance*, I will keep you from *the hour of trial* that is coming on the whole world *to test the inhabitants of the earth*.

Note: one should give close attention to what is described at Ephesus over against that at Smyrna and Philadelphia. The Ephesian believers did the testing of some within the Christian community (active voice form of the verb), while the passive voice verb (to be put to a test) concept is applied to Smyrna and Philadelphia. They were objects of testing.

<sup>39</sup>"The phrase οἱ κατοικοῦντες ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, 'those who dwell on the earth,' is a favorite of the author's and occurs eight more times in Revelation (6:10; 8:13; 11:10[2x]; 13:8, 14[2x]; 17:8) and three additional times with varied phraseology (13:12; 14:6; 17:2), always in the negative sense of non-Christian persecutors of Christians. The phrase 'inhabitants of the earth' (יושׁב הארץ vôšēb hā.āreş or יושׁב הארץ vôšbê hā.āreş) occurs with some frequency in the OT, though in the Pentateuch it usually means 'native Palestinians' (Lam 4:12; Isa 24:6, 17; 26:9, 18, 21; Jer 1:14; 25:29, 30; 38:11; Ezek 7:7; Dan 4:35[2x]; Zeph 1:18). The phrase also occurs frequently in early Jewish literature reflecting an awareness of the nations of the world, often in a universalistic eschatology in early Jewish apocalyptic, where it also has a predominantly negative

τοὺς κατοικοῦντας ἐπὶ τῆς γῆς, to test those dwelling on the earth, in the sense of afflicting them with some kind of undefined temporal judgment. Given the historical backdrop of the region around Philadelphia toward hugely destructive earthquakes happening very frequently, one doesn't have to imagine much in order to sense what Christ may well be saying here. Those persecutors of the Philadelphian believers will bear the full brunt of divine punishment for their treatment of God's people. This will 'push the envelope' of these pagans to the very limit. The exempting of believers from these devastating consequences will draw a line clearly between those who are God's people and those who are not. This is Christ's promise to the believers at Philadelphia.<sup>40</sup>

ἕρχομαι ταχύ (v. 11a). Viewed in its context, this promise of coming quickly should be taken to mean that Christ will very soon impose this devastating punishment upon the persecutors of believers at Philadelphia. One should note that the immanent coming of Christ is limited to Revelation chapters one through three and chapter twenty-two: 2:5, 16; 22:7, 12, 20. The prediction of His coming, ἕρχομαι, without the qualifier ταχύ, shows up in 1:7; 2:25; 16:15; 22:20. Additional to His coming signaling the launching of divine judgments on unbelievers is the fact that this coming will be an encouragement to believers to hang fast to their Christian commitment in the face of persecution.<sup>41</sup>

κράτει ὃ ἕχεις, ἵνα μηδεὶς λάβῃ τὸν στέφανόν σου (v. 11b), hold fast to what you have, so that no one may

connotation (1 Enoch 37:2, 5; 40:6, 7; 48:5; 53:1; 54:6; 55:1, 2; 60:5; 62:1; 65:6, 10, 12; 66:1; 67:7, 8; 70:1; 4 Ezra 3:12, 34, 35; 4:21, 39; 5:1, 6; 6:18, 24, 26; 7:72, 74; 10:59; 11:5, 32, 34; 12:24; 13:29, 30; 2 Apoc. Bar. 25:1; 48:32, 40; 54:1; 55:2; 70:2, 10; T. Abr. [Rec. A] 3:12; [Rec. B] 6:6; Ps.-Philo, *Bib. Ant.* 3.3, 9, 12; 4.16; CDa 10:9)." [*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 240]

<sup>40</sup>"It is unlikely that Rev. 3:10 is referring to a protection of believers' physical lives, since the preceding letters have focused only on spiritual perseverance, even at the cost of one's physical life (e.g., 2:8–11; 2:13). If 3:10 is referring to a promise of physical preservation before the final resurrection, then it is the only text in the whole book that does so." [*The New International Greek Testament Commentary, The Book of Revelation*, p. 291]

<sup>41</sup>"Consequently, the 'coming' referred to in this verse is the increased presence of Christ that will protect these believers when they pass through tribulation, as has just been mentioned in v 10.225 Therefore, the promise of v 10 is not that they will escape the coming trial, but that they will be able to endure through it because of his sustaining power.226 Such endurance will indicate that they have not been prevented from successfully completing their pilgrimage of salvation. The forces of evil have not succeeded in 'taking their crown' (see 2 Esdr. 2:43–47 [cf. on 3:5 above]). Reference to believers receiving a crown may develop further the Isa. 22:22 context, where God promises to take away evil Shebna's crown and give it to faithful Eliakim (Isa. 22:17, 21)." [*The New International Greek Testament Commentary, The Book of Revelation*, p. 291]

take your crown. Literally, the command is to continue holding fast, with b έχεις referencing ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον καὶ οὐκ ἠρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου (and you have kept my word and have not denied my name, 3:8c) and έτήρησας τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου (you have kept my word to endure, 3:10a). Most likely the idea of a crown here originates from the Isa. 22:22 image where in vv. 17 and 21 God indicates His intention to take evil Shebna's crown and give it to Eliakim as acknowledgment of Eliakim's faithful service to the king.42 The ancient non-religious meaning of having a crown removed is that of being disgualified from participating in a contest. With the strong repeated emphasis on consistency of faith commitment to Christ as the center piece of authentic Christianity, the meaning of the image is clear. Remain faithful to the end as a demonstration of the genuineness of your faith commitment. Anything less disgualifies you from the 'contest' of salvation.

## C. Promise and Admonition, vv. 12-13

12 Ό νικῶν ποιήσω αὐτὸν στῦλον ἐν τῷ ναῷ τοῦ θεοῦ μου καὶ ἔξω οὐ μὴ ἐξέλθῃ ἕτι καὶ γράψω ἐπ' ἀὐτὸν τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ μου καὶ τὸ ὄνομα τῆς πόλεως τοῦ θεοῦ μου, τῆς καινῆς Ἱερουσαλὴμ ἡ καταβαίνουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μου, καὶ τὸ ὄνομά μου τὸ καινόν. 13 Ὁ ἔχων οὖς ἀκουσάτω τί τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις.

12 If you conquer, I will make you a pillar in the temple of my God; you will never go out of it. I will write on you the name of my God, and the name of the city of my God, the new Jerusalem that comes down from my God out of heaven, and my own new name. 13 Let anyone who has an ear listen to what the Spirit is saying to the churches.

What comes last in the message are the two standard forms of victory and hearing. The sequencing of these two elements varies,<sup>43</sup> but both are uniformly included in all seven messages.

#### Victory Promise:

Ό νικῶν ποιήσω αὐτὸν στῦλον ἐν τῷ ναῷ τοῦ θεοῦ μου καὶ ἔξω οὐ μὴ ἑξέλθῃ ἕτι (v. 12a). As has been the case consistently the prerequisite for the promise is stated in the participle Ὁ νικῶν: 2:11; 2:26 (+ καὶ ὁ τηρῶν); 3:5; 3:12; 3:21; (alternatively Τῷ νικῶντι in 2:7; 2:17). Uniformly the participle stands as antecedent to αὐτῷ / αὐτὸν functioning as the object of the future

<sup>42</sup>Isa. 22:21. καὶ ἐνδύσω αὐτὸν τὴν στολήν σου καὶ τὸν στέφανόν σου δώσω αὐτῷ καὶ τὸ κράτος καὶ τὴν οἰκονομίαν σου δώσω εἰς τὰς χεῖρας αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἔσται ὡς πατὴρ τοῖς ἐνοικοῦσιν ἐν Ιερουσαλημ καὶ τοῖς ἐνοικοῦσιν ἐν Ιουδα.

21 and will clothe him with your robe and bind your sash on him. *I will commit your authority to his hand*, and he shall be a father to the inhabitants of Jerusalem and to the house of Judah.

<sup>43</sup>*Hear command followed by victory promise*: Ephesus (2:7); Smyrna (2:11); Pergamon (2:17).

*Victory promise followed by hear command:* Thyatira (2:26-29); Sardis (3:5-6); Philadelphia (3:12-13); Laodicea (3:21-22).

tense verb specifying the promise made by Christ. This grammar construction heightens the importance of the required condition for the blessing. The idea of overcoming,  $v_{IK}\omega v$ , sums up the core emphasis upon faithful commitment to Christ as the essence of genuine faith surrender to Christ in conversion. Thus not what we say with our mouth, but what we do with our life is the unquestionable signal of true faith or not.

The victory promise to the overcomer here consists of four declarations. These should not be taken as four separate promises. Rather they reflect four perspectives on the one promise of eternal life.<sup>44</sup>

The first declaration is ποιήσω αὐτὸν στῦλον ἐν τῷ ναῷ τοῦ θεοῦ μου καὶ ἔξω οὐ μὴ ἐξέλθῃ ἔτι, I will make him a pillar in the temple of My God and he will never ever depart from it. A στῦλος was a pillar in some building that was a permanent part of the structure. The promise to the Philadelphians is that they will be incorporated into a permanent part of God's eternal temple in Heaven.<sup>45</sup> Consequently, no earthquake, like those that

<sup>44</sup>"As in 2:17, the four elements of the promise are not to be interpreted as four distinct promises but as different aspects of the same promise concerning end-time fellowship and identification with Christ. That is, never denying Christ's 'name' now (3:8; cf. v 10) and persevering through tribulation (vv 10–11) are the basis for inheriting the reward of everlasting identification with Christ's "new name" after death." [*The New International Greek Testament Commentary, The Book of Revelation*, p. 292]

<sup>45</sup>"For the emphasis on the 'pillars' in a description of the eschatological Jerusalem, see 1 Enoch 90:28-29, where the phrases 'old house' and 'new house' symbolize the earthly and the eschatological Jerusalem, respectively, which includes the temple though it is not specifically mentioned (Black, Enoch, 278). The term στῦλοι, 'pillars,' is used by Paul as a metaphor for leaders in the Jerusalem church (Gal 2:9), and Peter and Paul are referred to as στῦλοι, 'pillars,' in 1 Clem 5:2 (cf. Ep. Lugd 1.6, 17); in 1 Tim 3:15, the church is described as  $\sigma \tau \tilde{\upsilon} \lambda \sigma \zeta \kappa \alpha \tilde{\iota} \delta \rho \alpha (\omega \mu \alpha \tau \tilde{\eta} \zeta)$  $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\epsilon(\alpha\varsigma)$ , 'the pillar and foundation of the truth.' The metaphor of an individual as a pillar, i.e., a person of central importance for a particular community, is found often in the Greco Roman world (Euripides Iph. Taur. 57; Pindar Olymp. 2.81-82; Lycophron Alex. 281; Vita Aesopi G 106 [B. E. Perry, Aesopica: Studies in Text History of Life and Fables of Aesop: Vol. 1. Greek and Latin Texts [Urbana: University of Illinois, 1952] 1:68]). This notion also appears in Philo; cf. Quaest. in Ex. 1.21 (tr. LCL; this sentence survives in a Greek fragment as well as in an Armenian translation): 'For good men are the pillars of whole communities [κίονές ἐστιν δήμων  $\delta$ λων], and they support cities and city-governments as if they were great houses' (cf. Philo De Abr. 124). The metaphor of the temple is occasionally used in early Christian literature for the church (1 Cor 3:16-17; 2 Cor 6:16; Eph 2:19-22; 1 Pet 2:4-10). Nevertheless, despite the claim of U. Wilckens (TDNT 7:734–35), there is no apparent connection between the reference in Gal 2:9 and the notion of the church as a spiritual temple (Betz, Galatians, 99 n. 404). 'Pillar' is used in a metaphorical sense in Prov 9:1, though the imagery is probably drawn not from temple architecture but from that of a banqueting hall; the "house" of wisdom is the world, and the 'pillars' are the 'pillars of heaven' (see Job 26:11). Here in v 12, the phrase  $\dot{\epsilon}v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \tilde{\omega}$ , 'in the temple,' excludes any Page 14

shook and sometimes destroyed the pagan temples in Philadelphia, would ever force anyone to abandon this heavenly temple. This temple is eternal and a perfect refuge from the sinfulness of this world.

καὶ γράψω ἐπ' αὐτὸν τὸ ὄνομα τοῦ θεοῦ μου (v. 12b). Adding further security to the overcomer is the pledge by Christ to write God's name across the forehead of the believer. This metaphor affirms divine ownership by God as well as dedication of the individual to God.<sup>46</sup> The believer belongs exclusively to God so that no one, namely the Devil, can make any claim to this person.

καὶ τὸ ὄνομα τῆς πόλεως τοῦ θεοῦ μου, τῆς καινῆς Ἱερουσαλὴμ<sup>47</sup> ἡ καταβαίνουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μου (v. 12c). Against the Hellenistic background of citizenship in a particular city as the key determinor of value and identity in the ancient world, Christ promises a citizenship "id" in the most important city of them all, the Heavenly Jerusalem. The Heavenly Jerusalem is mentioned only three times in Revelation: 3:12; 21:2, 10, with the adjective 'new' (καινῆς) found only in 3:12 and 21:2. This eternal city belongs to God who stands as its head and ruler. The image of a city moving downward, ἡ καταβαίνουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μου, signals the extension of God's presence to include these overcomers at Philadelphia into the eternal divine presence.

καὶ τὸ ὄνομά μου τὸ καινόν (v. 12d). This fourth

allusion to the external freestanding pillars of the Solomonic temple, Jachin and Boaz (1 Kgs 7:15–21; 2 Chr 3:15–15; Josephus Ant. 8.77–78), or to pillars in the peristyle of other ancient temples. Yet there is evidence of freestanding pillars within ancient temples, such as the pair of pillars, one of gold and the other of emerald, that Herodotus saw in the temple of Melkart-Herakles (Herodotus 2.44). "[*Word Biblical Commentary*, vol. 53a, page 242]

<sup>46</sup>"This is perhaps an allusion to Exod 28:36–38, where instructions are given for writing the inscription 'Holy to the Lord' on a gold plate to be mounted on the front of Aaron's high priestly headdress, on his forehead. In Philo, Mos. 2.114-15 reflects the apparently traditional idea (not supported by the Hebrew Bible or the LXX) that the Tetragrammaton יהוה YHWH was inscribed on this gold plate (the same idea is foundin Jos. J. W. 5.235; Ant. 3.178; Ep. Arist. 98; Origen Frag. in Ps. 2.2). However, in De Abr. 103. Philo gets it right, observing that the gold plate has ἀγίασμα κυρίω, 'holy to the Lord,' inscribed on it. Isa 43:7 refers to 'evervone who is called by my name.' According to b. B. Bat. 75b: 'Three are called by the name of the Holy One blessed be He, and they are the following: the righteous, the Messiah, and Jerusalem' (Str-B 3:795–96). It is significant that the motifs of the inscription of a divine name and a pillar in the sanctuary are combined in PGM VIII.40-41: 'Your true name is inscribed on the sacred pillar [τῆ ἰερᾶ στήλη] in the innermost sanctuary at Hermopolis'." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 243]

<sup>47</sup>We begin noticing again some of the 'crazy' Greek of John with the modifying participle phrase ή καταβαίνουσα ἐκ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἀπὸ τοῦ θεοῦ μου set up in the nominative case ή καταβαίνουσα rather than the required genitive case τῆς καταβαινουσῆς... in order to properly link it back to τῆς καινῆς Ἱερουσαλὴμ.

promise is of a third name written on the overcomer's forehead: that of Christ Himself. But Christ's name now is a new name. This emphasis on a new name being given stands against the backdrop of the city of Philadelphia being renamed twice, with the second time coming just a decade or so before the writing of Revelation.<sup>48</sup>

What that new name will be is not spelled out. And ultimately it is irrelevant. What matters is that it is the identifying name given to the overcomer by Christ Himself and identifies the overcomer as belonging exclusively to the Lord.

Thus the overcomer on that eschatological day will be given three new names: of God, of the city Jerusalem, and of Christ. And yet all three are but one name signifying that he belongs completely to the eternal God and will spend eternity with his God.

# Hearing Admonition:

Ό ἔχων οὖς ἀκουσάτω τί τὸ πνεῦμα λέγει ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις (v. 13). The climax of the message is thus reached with the command to pay attention to this message. The assumption is that both the reader and the hearer of this message have enough sense to understand the ideas of the message. But just recognizing the content of the message is not enough. Ultimately more important is how that message applies. And providing this understanding is the work of the Holy Spirit.

But the Spirit provides applicational understanding not just to the overcomer in Philadelphia. His work is to make this message relevant to all seven churches, and

""The bestowal of a 'new name' (mentioned in 2:17) in biblical tradition ordinarily means a change of status or function for a city or nation (Isa 1:26; Isa 60:14; 65:15; Jer 3:17; 23:6; 33:16; Ezek 48:35; Zech 8:3) or a change in the character, conduct, or status of an individual (Gen 17:5, 17; 32:27-28; 41:45; Dan 1:7; Mark 3:17; John 1:42). Speaking of the future glory of restored Israel, Isa 62:2 reads 'you shall be called by a new name [LXX τὸ ὄνομα σου τὰ καινόν, which the mouth of the Lord will give,' while 65:15 speaks of the righteous: 'but his servants he will call by a different name [LXX ὄνομα καινόν].' In early Christianity, the 'new name' of Isa 62:2; 65:15 was thought to be the name 'Christian' (Cyprian Ad Quirinum 1.22; Eusebius Demonstr. evang. 2.3.80; Hist. Eccl. 1.4.3-4; Ignatius Magn. 10 [longer version; Lightfoot, Apostolic Fathers 2/3, 175]; see Ignatius Magn. 10:1; Clement Alex. Paed. 1.5; Apost. Const. 3.15). In T. Levi 8:14 (in what is very probably an interpolation, though probably not of Christian origin; see Ulrichsen, Grundschrift, 194-95), it is said of the offspring of Levi, 'he will be called with a new name [ὄνομα καινόν].' Ramsay suggested that the theme of the new name would have been meaningful to the Philadelphian Christians since the name of their city had been renamed twice. The first new name was 'Neokaisareia' (after Tiberius or Germanicus), given to the city after the earthquake of a.d. 17, while the second, 'Flavia,' the family name of the emperor Vespasian, was given during his reign, i.e., a.d. 70–79 (Ramsay, Letters, 397-98, 409-12)." [Word Biblical Commentary, vol. 53a, page 245]

ultimately then to all believers who hear this message. And that includes us today!

# 2. What does the text mean to us today?

Does this message speak to believers today? Clearly, the answer is yes, it speaks volumes! It comes to us as words of  $\dot{o}$   $\dot{\alpha}\gamma_{IO\zeta}$ ,  $\dot{o}$   $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta_{IV}\dot{o}\zeta$ , the Holy One, the Trustworthy One. Christ stands as the ultimate of holiness and the One whose promises are absolutely reliable. In this world powerful figures such as politicians constantly make promise but can seldom ever be counted upon to keep those promises. Such promises come from individuals neither holy nor trustworthy. But in contrast the promises and declarations in the message to the Philadelphians originate from He who is perfect holiness and absolute trustworthiness.

Thus when He declares His exclusive power to open and close the door of eternal salvation which no one can alter, we can know with certainty what our eternal destiny will be. For the Philadelphians, and for us as well, the door is opened to us to enter into the eternal salvation provided by God.

But how? Christ's answer is simply: ἐτήρησάς μου τὸν λόγον καὶ οὐκ ἡρνήσω τὸ ὄνομά μου, you have obeyed my word and have not denied my name. Further, ἐτήρησας τὸν λόγον τῆς ὑπομονῆς μου, you have kept my word to endure. Entering through the door of eschatological salvation then comes about through a life of obedience to Christ's word. A definite decision begins the journey, but patient continuing of the journey to the end is the ultimate bottom line of entering the door at the end of the journey. Without such perseverance no open door awaits us at the end of the journey.

The journey requires such faith surrender to Christ, since it will bring us into opposition and hostility. We may not face those from the synagogue of Satan who call themselves God's people, as did the Philadelphian believers. But there will be opposition and temptation trying to push us off the path to the open door. At times we may have little strength as did the Philadelphians. That is, we may be small in number and greatly outnumbered by our enemies.

But the promises of Christ to us remain unaltered. To the overcomer there will be the blessed privilege of becoming a permanent part of God's eternal temple in Heaven. Three divine names will be inscribed across our foreheads signifying that we belong exclusively to Almighty God and thus no one can touch us or harm us. And this is forever!

Our response? Let us then hear closely what the Spirit is saying to the churches!

